Broadband and Multifunctional Flat Optics: From High Performance Components to Cameras



OSA Webinar, August 17

John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences Harvard University

capasso@seas.harvard.edu



Funding: DARPA, AFOSR

Our Vision for Planar ("Flat") Optics

- F. Capasso, Nanophotonics, 6 953 (2018)
- Metasurfaces provide arbitrary control of the wavefront (phase, amplitude and polarization)
- > Metasurfaces enable flat optics: compact, thinner, easier fabrication and alignment
- Multifunctionality: single flat optical components can replace multiple standard components
- Flat Optics for a wide range of optical components (lenses, holograms, polarizers, phase plates, etc.) and applications: machine vision, biomed imaging, drones, polarimetry, polarization sensitive cameras
- Same foundries will manufacture camera sensor and lenses using same technology (deep-UV stepper) CMOS compatible flat optics platform for high volume markets: Examples: lenses in cell phone camera modules will be replaced by metalenses fabricated by DUV lithography (same foundry that makes the sensor chip)
 Displays, wearable optics (augmented reality).
- Metasurfaces can generate arbitrary vector beams (structured light) well beyond the capabilities of SLM
- > Importance of inverse design, co-design of hardware & software, impact of AI on optics

Conventional Lens Manufacturing



Ref: U.S. Patent 0085059 A1, Mar. 24, 2016.

Microscope objective lens



- All lenses suffer from distortions in the way they focus
- Focal point is blurred by aberrations (spherical, astigmatism, coma, etc.)
- Can be corrected by using multiple lenses, which however makes the optics much thicker, bulky and heavier

Metasurfaces: complete wavefront control



Propagation phase from lens to focus with respect to center ray

$$\varphi(x,y) = -\frac{2\pi}{\lambda_d} \left(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + f^2} - f \right)$$

Phase imparted by the pillar at x,y must compensate for difference in propagation phase shifts



- Numerical Aperture as High as 0.85
- <600 nm Tall TiO₂ Nanopillars

M. Khorasaninejad et al. Nano Lett., 16, 7229 (2016).

Uniform amplitude
 2π phase coverage

Phase versus Diameter at design wavelength of 532 nm



Large Area Metalenses

- Glass lens
- nano-meter precision with high throughput
 - > 160 Million nanopillars per lens



Manufactured by deep ultraviolet (DUV) projection lithography: used in semiconductor IC chip manufactur ing Unification of two industries: ICs and Optics

J. S. Park et al. Nano Letters 19, 8673 (2019)

 Flat and Compact: compatible with wafer packaging





Focusing profile at design wavelength



Metalens for High Resolution Endoscopes

Hamid Pahlevani et al. Nature Photonics https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-018-0224-2

Collaboration with Mass General Hospital, Prof. Melissa Suter



Harvard John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences

Endoscopic imaging using metalens catheter

OCT images

Histological images



epi: epithelium; bm: basement membrane; car: cartilage ves: blood vessels; alv: alveolar; gp: glandular patterns

TiO2 Metasurfaces by Atomic Layer Deposition:

Completely transparent in the visible;

Negligible roughness, Vertical walls



R.C. Devlin, et al. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci .113, 10473 (2016)

Diffraction Limited High NA Metalenses

M. Khorasaninejad et al. Nano Lett., 16, 7229 (2016).



$Ø = 2 \text{ mm}; f = 800 \mu \text{m}$ NA= 0.8

Focusing efficiency: 60% to 80 % depending For NA in 0.8 to 0.6 range



Metalens vs Fresnel and Multi-level Diffractive Lens

Collaboration with Zeiss Inc. (M. Decker et al., ACS Photonics 6, 1493 (2019))

- The angular bandwidth (range of incidence angle with high deflection efficiency) is an important measure of how much light can be transported within an imaging optical system
- Angular bandwidth with DOEs (control of phase with different heights) including multi- \geq level diffractive lenses (MDLs) is much lower compared to metalenses due to shadowing. This results in resolution and intensity losses (vignetting).
- The bandwidth of metalenses can be much improved by dispersion engineering, while \geq the limitation of angular bandwidth MDLs is intrinsic



Vignetting: drawback of low angular bandwidth

Multilevel diffractive lens (NA = 0.075, Dia = 150 μm)

significant vignetting even in low NA lens



R. Menon's Group Appl. Phys. Lett. 117, 041101 (2020)

Achromatic low NA metalens (NA = 0.02, Dia = 220 μm)



Ref: W. T. Chen et al., Nat. Nanotechnol. 13, 220-226 (2018).

Chromatic high NA metalens (NA = 0.8, Dia = 2 mm)



Ref: M. Khorasaninejad et al., Science 352, 1190-1194 (2016).



Multi-level Diffractive Lenses (MDLs)

- Height is hard to control precisely, and therefore MDLs are challenging to reach diffraction-limited focusing and high efficiency.
 - Large aberrations and low efficiency in fabricated MDL (NA = 0.075, Dia = 150 um) Strong side lobes and low Strehl ratio



Large deviation of efficiency between measurement and design



R. Menon's Group, OSA Continuum., 2, 2968 (2019) and Appl. Phys. Lett. 117, 041101 (2020)



An Ideal Metalens

No monochromatic aberrations

Spherical, coma, astigmatism and field curvature

No chromatic aberrations

Minimizing focal length shift



Transmission as high as possible



This requires the metalens to impart an incident **angle-dependent** phase profile:

 $\varphi(r, \theta_{in})$

This requires the metalens to impart a **frequency-dependent** phase profile:

 λ_{red}

 $\varphi(r,\omega)$



 λ_{blue}

> Aperture Stop is the key to realize an angle-depend phase profile The aperture spatially separates incident light of different angles such that they interact with different regions of a metalens. The phase profile of metalens can then be locally customized resulting in $\varphi(r, \theta_{in})$.



lens system: a metalens + an aperture



Flat lens leads to flat image plane (no field curvature)

Such metalens system has no field curvature and therefore is better than a corresponding refractive system of a spherical lens + an aperture



A metalens + an aperture

A spherical lens + an aperture



Even replacing with an aspherical lens, such aberration can not be removed



Metalens Doublet

The major residual aberrations in the aforementioned design (metalens singlet + an aperture) is spherical aberration for all angles.



References:

B. Groever, W. T. Chen, and F. Capasso, Nano Lett. **17**, 4902-4907 (2017). Also see:

A. Arbabi et al., Nat. Commun. 7, 13682 (2016).

X. Luo's group, Opt. Express **25**, 31471-31477 (2017).



Focus of paraxial rays

Metalens Doublet



Harvard John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences

Focal spot and imaging

Lens test set-up:



Imaging set-up:



Scale bar: 11 µm

Dispersion Engineering: Achromatic Metalens

> Metalens (NA = 0.2)



$$F(\omega) = k\omega^n$$





Size limitation due to limited range of group delay

W. T. Chen, A. Y. Zhu, J. Sisler, Z. Bharwani, F. Capasso, Nat. Commun. 10, 355 (2019)



Harvard John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences

White light focusing





Harvard John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences

Correction of Achromatic Aberrations



Ţ

Hybrid Achromatic Metalens



• Spherical lens + metacorrector (Dia = 1.5 mm, NA = 0.075)



Meta-spectrometers: Making good use of Chromatic Effect



- Off-axis metalens has better spectral resolution because of angular and longitudinal dispersions.
- Off-axis metalens suffers two major aberrations (field curvature and astigmatism), which limite its spectral resolution and range in a narrow bandwidth.

Aberration-corrected metalens spectrometer

 Flat and perpendicular focal plane realized by dispersion-engineered metalens



 Coupled TiO₂ waveguide for fine tuning dispersion



> Measured focal spots (FWHM ~ 56 μ m)



488 nm









Without dispersion engineering

532 nm







- Metalens dispersion and spectral resolution
- Dispersion

0.8



Spectral resolution

(Reciprocal linear dispersion × Focal spot size)



~ 0.73 nm spectral resolution from 470 to 660 nm in the visible



Motivation: small, low power depth sensors

Jumping spiders - an example of micro depth sensing platform

High computational power means a fancy GPU or cluster, whereas low power computations can be easily implemented on small platforms with limited energy budge such as cellphones, microrobots, drones etc.





Harvard John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences

Q. Guo et al. PNAS 116, 22959 (2019)

> Metalens depth sensor that mimics the jumping spider.



Co-design of hardware (optics) and software (algorithm)

Metalens that simultaneously creates side-by-side two images of the same scene but with different amount of defocus.

Depth from Defocus



Depth map reconstruction equation

$$Z(x,y) = \left(\alpha + \beta \frac{\delta I(x,y)}{\nabla^2 I(x,y)}\right)^{-1}$$

- Advantages:
 - Greatly reduced computational burden: 10 times less computation then typical stereo or light field depth sensors.
 - High speed: ~160 frame per second (fps) (for comparison, standard movies are 24 fps).
 - Snapshot, compact, lightweight.
- Amount of computation = floating point operations per output pixel (FLOPS). Our method uses ~600
 FLOPS, whereas traditional stereo depth sensing requires ~ 7000 FLOPS. Make FLOPS as small as
 possible: small chips at high speed and low power consumption.



Results

0.28m

0.33m

0.38m

0.43m

0.48m



- Working range: 30-40 cm
- Depth resolution: • ~3% of the true depth
- Working range and \bullet resolution can be adjusted by modifying the metalens design.

Capasso Group

=



Why care about seeing polarization?

Science



1IT Media



Remote sensing Autonomous vehicles 3D reconstruction Image: sensing sensing sensities Por SPE Image: sensing sensities Image: sensities Image: sensing sensities Por SPE Image: sensities Image: sensities Image: sensities Image: sensities Por SPE Image: sensities Image:



Noah Rubin



Paul Chevalier

Polarization imaging: techniques and hardware

Division of time:





-Minimum of componentry ✓
-Limited time resolution ×
-Moving parts ×

-No real limit to time-resolution
 -No moving parts
 -Complex, expensive systems ×
 -Lots of polarization optics needed ×

Division of focal plane:



-No real limit to time-resolution ✓
-No moving parts ✓
-Difficult fabrication, sensitive alignment ×
-Expensive ×
-Usually only sensitive to linear polarization ×

Since different filters (analyzers) are needed to determine the state of polarization present polarization sensitive cameras are very complex **Can we have a single metasurface replace all this componentry?**

Polarization optics

~1650:



Today:

Polarizing prisms Waveplates



"Iceland spar" (calcite)



Rhombs



Polarizing sheet Polaroid, Inc. In free space, we still rely on the same tools whose discovery prompted the first investigations into polarization optics 350 years ago.

What new polarization optics and physics can we explore?

Metasurfaces and polarization optics

- Subwavelength arrays of shape-birefringent elements – custom waveplates carrying on a subwavelength scale
- Engineered polarization-dependent behavior
- Spatially-varying polarization





A Arbabi et al., *Nat. Nano.* **10**, 11 (2015) NA Rubin et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 113901 (2017)

The Stokes formalism



"Stokes vector"

In its most general form, light's polarization is described by **4 parameters**.

Un/partially polarized light.



The Poincaré sphere

Four intensity measurements with four different filters uniquely characterize polarization

Quantities derived from the Stokes vector

These correspond to physical properties of the polarization ellipse.



Polarization imaging with metasurfaces



N.A. Rubin et al., Matrix Fourier optics enables a compact full-Stokes polarization camera, Science, 365, 6448 (2019)



Gratings for parallel polarization analysis



A grating formed from many of the above unit cells **diffracts light into four inner diffraction orders that act as polarizers**. The grating functions at 532 nm.

N.A. Rubin et al. Science, 365, 6448 (2019)

Polarimetry of grating orders

How do we characterize the grating? Key Idea: Probe grating with many test polarization states and see what polarization states come out.

Mueller matrix polarimetry.



Experimental characterization of gratings

• We find:

Ę

- The orders behave as polarizers for the desired polarization states.
- They show contrasts exceeding 90%, with *no absorptive polarizers*.
- On average, over 50% of incident intensity ends up in these four orders.
- The grating can be employed in a practical polarization imaging system!



Metasurface polarization camera



Packaged prototype with user interface for real-time polarization imaging indoor and outdoor.

6 mm



What does the camera see?



Four images with differing polarization response.

The four images must be co-registered and processed to determine the full Stokes vector at each pixel.

Indoor and outdoor polarization imaging



N.A. Rubin et al. Science, 365, 6448 (2019)

Our Vision for Planar ("Flat") Optics

- F. Capasso, Nanophotonics, 6 953 (2018)
- Metasurfaces provide arbitrary control of the wavefront (phase, amplitude and polarization)
- > Metasurfaces enable flat optics: compact, thinner, easier fabrication and alignment
- Multifunctionality: single flat optical components can replace multiple standard components
- Flat Optics for a wide range of optical components (lenses, holograms, polarizers, phase plates, etc.) and applications: machine vision, biomed imaging, drones, polarimetry, polarization sensitive cameras
- Same foundries will manufacture camera sensor and lenses using same technology (deep-UV stepper) CMOS compatible flat optics platform for high volume markets: Examples: lenses in cell phone camera modules will be replaced by metalenses fabricated by DUV lithography (same foundry that makes the sensor chip) Displays, wearable optics (augmented reality).
- Metasurfaces can generate arbitrary vector beams (structured light) well beyond the capabilities of SLM
- > Importance of inverse design, co-design of hardware & software, impact of AI on optics

Acknowledgments







Reza Khorasaninejad



Zhujun Shi



Alexander Zhu



Robert Devlin



Noah Rubin



Gabriele D'Aversa



Paul Chevalier



Shuyan Zhang Prof. Todd Zickler Qi Guo



Yao-Wei Huang



Joon-Suh Park



Alan She